<u>AFR</u>

Court No. - 29

Case: - PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) No. - 749 of 2020

Petitioner :- Dr. Mohammad Ayub **Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 3 Ors.

Counsel for Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar, Rahul Chaudhary

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

<u>Hon'ble Pankaj Mithal, J.</u> <u>Hon'ble Dr. Yogendra Kumar Srivastava, J.</u>

- 1. Heard Sri Rahul Chaudhary, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the State-respondents.
- 2. The petitioner is claiming to be a renowned surgeon and a member of Peace Party. He has preferred this writ petition in public interest alleging that *Qurbani* (sacrifice) is mandatory on the festival of *Eid-ul-Adha* which is falling on 31.07.2020. He orally submits that the festival is actually on Saturday, 1st of August, 2020. The petitioner, therefore, prays that for the purposes of *Qurbani* on the said day of festival relaxations may be provided in the guidelines of the State Government dated 12.07.2020 which contemplate for a two days' lockdown in view of COVID-19 pandemic on every Saturday and Sunday.
- 3. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the lockdown which is applicable on every Saturday and Sunday infringes with the right guaranteed under Articles 21 and 25 of the Constitution of India.
- 4. Fundamental Rights as contained under Part III of the Constitution have always enjoyed a special and privileged place

in the Constitution and occupy a unique place in the lives of the civilised societies. They have been held to be transcendental, inalienable and primordial. However, the Fundamental Rights under Part III are not of an absolute nature, and the same are subject to reasonable restrictions.

- 5. Article 25 secures to every person the freedom of conscience and free profession, practice and propagation of religion.
- 6. The entitlement to freedom of conscience and the right freely to profess, practice and propagate religion is, however, subject to public order, morality and health and to other provisions of Part III.
- 7. The right to freedom of religion guaranteed under Article 25 of the Constitution thus cannot override the interests of public order, morality and health and is also subject to other provisions contained under Part III.
- 8. The right under Article 25 guaranteeing freedom of conscience, profession, practice and propagation of religion being subject to "public order, morality and health", and also "to other provisions" of Part III of the Constitution, the restrictions imposed by the State Government imposing lockdown for two days in a week during the extraordinary situation created due to COVID-19 pandemic, cannot be said to impinge upon any of the Fundamental Rights of the petitioners or members of any religious community.
- 9. It is pertinent to mention that guarantee of the Fundamental Rights has been made subject to reasonable restrictions which may be imposed by the State. The power to impose reasonable restrictions may be necessary in the interest of public order,

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

(3)

morality and health provided the restrictions so imposed are not

unreasonable and arbitrary.

10. We, in such a situation, where the restrictions imposed have

neither been shown to be arbitrary or unreasonable, find no

reason whatsoever for relaxing the conditions contained under

the guidelines.

11. The counsel for the petitioner is unable to establish before

us as to in what manner the restrictions imposed in terms of the

guidelines issued by the State Government in the light of the

prevailing COVID-19 pandemic impinge upon any of the

fundamental rights of the petitioner or of any person especially

in these unprecedented times of COVID-19 pandemic which

casts an onerous obligation upon the State to take measures to

secure the health and lives of its citizens.

12. regard to the aforementioned facts Having and

circumstances, we do not find any element of public interest in

the present petition so as to persuade us to exercise our

extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution

of India.

13. The writ petition thus fails and is, accordingly, dismissed.

Order Date :- 29.7.2020

Shahroz