

R E V I S E D

ITEM NO.305

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S**

SUO MOTO WRIT (CRIMINAL) NO.1/2019

**RE: ALARMING RISE IN THE NUMBER OF REPORTED CHILD
RAPE INCIDENTS**

**WITH W.P.(C) No.819/2019 (PIL-W)
(With appln.(s) for intervention)**

Date : 13-11-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA**

**Mr. Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar, SCI
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, DJS**

For Petitioner(s)

By Courts Motion

WP(C) 819/2019

**Mr. Manoj V. George, Adv.
Ms. Shilpa Liza George, Adv.
Mr. Aakarsh Kamra, AOR
Ms. Bhavika, Adv.
Mr. Renjit Abraham, Adv.**

For Respondent(s)

**Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG
Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG
Ms. Suhasini Sen, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Rebello, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Mr. N.K. Gokhle, Adv.
Ms. Neela Gokhale, Adv.
Mr. Ilam Paridi, Adv.
Ms. Shradha Agrawal, Adv.
Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.**

Mr. A.K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Ravindran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. T.G.N. Nair, Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR
Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.
Mr. S. Manuraj, Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR
Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR
Mr. Sherick Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Subhasish Mohanty, AOR

Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR
Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv.

Mr. Prem Sunder Jha, AOR

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR
Mr. Anoop Kandari, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR
Mr. Gowtham Polanki, Adv.
Mr. Avinash Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR

Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR
Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.
Shalya Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Udit Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR
Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.
Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.
Ms. Arshiya Ghose, Adv.

Mr. Divyansh Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Geetanjali, Adv.
M/s. Arputham Aruna and Co.,

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR
Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.
Mr. S. Mahesh Sahasranaman, Adv.

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR
Mr. Venkatesh Rao, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.
Ms. C. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR
Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.
Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR
Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR
Mr. Samarth Khanna, Adv.
Mrs. Bihu Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Tanya Chaudhry, Adv.
Ms. Pratyusha Priyadarshini, Adv.
Ms. Nikita Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Ramdev, Adv.
Ms. Ashna Bhatnagar, Adv.
For M/s. Parekh & Co.

Mr. R. P. Gupta, AOR

Intervenor-in-person

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR
Ms. Palak Mahajan, Adv.

Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Mulchandani, Adv.
Ms. Tanuja Manjari Patra, Adv.
Ms. Aswathi M.K., AOR
Mr. Kaarathi, Adv.
Ms. Yogita Ahuja, Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Pandey, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR
Mr. Manendra Pal Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Leishangthem Roshmani Kh, AOR
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.
Mr. Aribam Jankinath Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR
Mr. Ankit Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Amartya Singh, Adv.

Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Anand Kr. Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Narendra Kumar, AOR

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Sr. Adv., AAG
Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR
Mr. S. Raja Rajeshwaran, Adv.

Mr. Sarvesh Singh Baghel, Adv.
Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR
Ms. Harshita Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Mr. Srinivas Vishven, Adv.
Ms. Akshara Chauhan, Adv.
Shreyase Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR
Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.
Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.
Ms. Kajal Dalal, Adv.
Mr. Prastut Dalvi, Adv.
Mr. Naveen Kumar, Adv.
M/s. PLR Chambers And Co.

Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.
Ms. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Piyush Dwivedi, AOR
Ms. Priyanka Dixit, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Goel, AOR
Ms. Naveen Goel, Adv.
Mr. Dushyant Sarna, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Adv.
Ms. Rekha Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.
Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.
Mr. P.S. Negi, Adv.
Ms. Shruti Ram Kochar, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.
Mr. Baibhaw Gahlaut, Adv.
Ms. Upma Bhatacherjee, Adv.

Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Mukti Chaudhry, Adv.

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.
Mr. M.V. Mukunda, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.
Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. S. Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Anika Nissar Sayyed, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.
Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.
Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.
Mr. Deniel Stone Lyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, AAG
Mr. Joydip Roy, Adv.
Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Akash Verma, Adv.

Ms. Monika Gusain, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.
Ms. Mahima C. Shroff, Adv.
Ms. Yashika Verma, Adv.
Ms. Riya Thomas, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shailja Nanda Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Arpit Parkash, Adv.

Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.
Ms. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.
Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.
Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Arora, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Annika Nissar Sayyed, Adv.
for Mr. A.S. Syyed, Adv.

Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv.
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.
Mr. Harikumar V, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR
Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Poonam Kaushik, Adv.
Ms. Gagan Deep Kaur, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have gone through the report of Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court. In paragraphs 7.1 to 7.9 of the said Report, the relevant figures are mentioned, which are being extracted below:-

"7.1 Percentage share of different types of offences under POCSO (National Analysis):

POCSO Offence	Rounded Percentage
Penetrative Sexual Assault {S.4}	32.1
Aggravated Penetrative Sexual	24

Assault {S.6}	
Sexual Assault {S.8}	31
Aggravated Sexual Assault {S.10}	3
Sexual Harassment {S.12}	8
Use of Children for Pornography {S.14 & 15}	1
Abetment {S.17}	2

7.2 Gender-wise percentage of victimisation under POCSO FIRs:

Girl	- 80%
Boy	- 6%
Other	- 14%

7.3 Age profile of Victims under POCSO:

0-6 yrs	- 4%
6-12 yrs	- 13%
13-16 yrs	- 60%
16-18 yrs	- 22%

7.4 Whether accused is Known/Stranger or Relative of victim:

Friends & Neighbours	- 27%
Relatives	- 7%
School Staff	- 1%
Other known person	- 56%
Stranger	- 9%

7.5 Time taken in completion of investigation:

Within 30 days	- 18%
31-60 days	- 17%
61-180 days	- 29%
181-365 days	- 16%
More than one year	- 20%

7.6 Time taken by Police in depositing Samples with FSL Laboratory:

Within 30 days	- 51%
31-60 days	- 19%
61-180 days	- 17%
181-365 days	- 6%
More than one year	- 7%

7.7 Percentage of cases in which support person is provided:

Support Person not provided - 96%
Support Person provided - 4%

7.8 Percentage of cases in which interim compensation/final compensation provided:

Interim Compensation NOT provided - 99%
Interim Compensation provided - 1%
Final Compensation NOT provided - 99%
Final Compensation provided - 1%

7.9 Pendency of POCSO Cases in percentage (Sec.35(2) mandates trial to be completed within one year):

Pending for more than 4 years - 8%
Pending for 3-4 years - 10%
Pending for 2-3 years - 17%
Pending for 1-2 years - 28%
Pending for less than 1 year - 37%

This report shows a shocking state of affairs. What to talk of trials, in 20% of the cases even investigation is not completed within one year. Virtually, no support persons are provided and no compensation is paid to the victims. Almost two-third of the cases are pending trial for more than one year.

It appears that at all stages of dealing with POCSO cases commencing with the investigation up to the stage of trial, the time lines stipulated under the Act have not been complied with. From the report of Shri Rathi, it appears that one major reason for the inability of the stake holders to meet the deadline stipulated under the Act, is lack of awareness and lack of dedication in completing investigation, etc. within the time frame stipulated and also inadequacy of the number of courts which has resulted in cases remaining pending beyond the period mandated for completion of trial under the Act.

We hope and expect that the Central Government will

play a much more proactive role to ensure that trials of cases arising out of the POCSO Act are completed in the time frame laid down in the Act.

We direct all the State Governments as well as the Union of India to do what is required to be done to ensure that all stages of investigation as well as trial, as contemplated under the Act, are completed within the time frame by creation of additional force for investigation. We further direct the Union of India and the State Governments to take steps for sensitization of officials associated with the investigation and also for creation or assignment of dedicated courts to try POCSO cases on top priority so that charge-sheets are filed within the mandatory period and trials are completed within the time frame contemplated under the Act.

Report of the action taken will be placed before this Court and will be considered by a Bench headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Gupta.

List the matter on 12th December, 2019.

The response of the Union of India on the draft scheme of compensation filed before this Court by Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court be also submitted in the course of the aforesaid period.

We have also perused the report submitted by Shri Dharmesh Sharma, District & Sessions Judge (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi and accede to his request for extending the time to complete the trial by 30th November, 2019.

(Chetan Kumar)
A.R. -cum-P.S.

(Anand Prakash)
Court Master

ITEM NO.305

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SUO MOTO WRIT (CRIMINAL) NO.1/2019

**RE: ALARMING RISE IN THE NUMBER OF REPORTED CHILD
RAPE INCIDENTS**

**WITH W.P.(C) No.819/2019 (PIL-W)
(With appln.(s) for intervention)**

Date : 13-11-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA**

For Petitioner(s)

By Courts Motion

WP(C) 819/2019

**Mr. Manoj V. George, Adv.
Ms. Shilpa Liza George, Adv.
Mr. Aakarsh Kamra, AOR
Ms. Bhavika, Adv.
Mr. Renjit Abraham, Adv.**

For Respondent(s)

**Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG
Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG
Ms. Suhasini Sen, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Rebello, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Mr. N.K. Gokhle, Adv.
Ms. Neela Gokhale, Adv.
Mr. Ilam Paridi, Adv.
Ms. Shradha Agrawal, Adv.
Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.**

Mr. P.N. Ravindran, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T.G.N. Nair, Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR
Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.
Mr. S. Manuraj, Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR
Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR
Mr. Sherick Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Subhasish Mohanty, AOR

Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR
Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv.

Mr. Prem Sunder Jha, AOR

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR
Mr. Anoop Kandari, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR
Mr. Gowtham Polanki, Adv.
Mr. Avinash Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR

Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR
Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.
Shalya Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Udit Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR
Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.
Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.
Ms. Arshiya Ghose, Adv.
Mr. Divyansh Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Geetanjali, Adv.
M/s. Arputham Aruna and Co.,

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR
Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.
Mr. S. Mahesh Sahasranaman, Adv.

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR
Mr. Venkatesh Rao, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.
Ms. C. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR
Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.
Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR
Mr. A. Rajarajan, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR
Mr. Samarth Khanna, Adv.
Mrs. Bihu Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Tanya Chaudhry, Adv.
Ms. Pratyusha Priyadarshini, Adv.
Ms. Nikita Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Ramdev, Adv.
Ms. Ashna Bhatnagar, Adv.
For M/s. Parekh & Co.

Mr. R. P. Gupta, AOR

Intervenor-in-person

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR
Ms. Palak Mahajan, Adv.

Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Mulchandani, Adv.
Ms. Tanuja Manjari Patra, Adv.
Ms. Aswathi M.K., AOR

Mr. Kaarathi, Adv.

Ms. Yogita Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Pandey, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Manendra Pal Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Leishangthem Roshmani Kh, AOR

Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.

Mr. Aribam Jankinath Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR

Mr. Ankit Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Amartya Singh, Adv.

Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Anand Kr. Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Narendra Kumar, AOR

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Sr. Adv., AAG

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR

Mr. S. Raja Rajeshwaran, Adv.

Mr. Sarvesh Singh Baghel, Adv.

Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR

Ms. Harshita Raghuvanshi, Adv.

Mr. Srinivas Vishven, Adv.

Ms. Akshara Chauhan, Adv.

Shreyase Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR

Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.

Ms. Kajal Dalal, Adv.

Mr. Prastut Dalvi, Adv.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Adv.

M/s. PLR Chambers And Co.

Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.

Ms. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Piyush Dwivedi, AOR

Ms. Priyanka Dixit, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Goel, AOR

Ms. Naveen Goel, Adv.

Mr. Dushyant Sarna, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Rekha Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.
Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.
Mr. P.S. Negi, Adv.
Ms. Shruti Ram Kochar, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.
Mr. Baibhaw Gahlaut, Adv.
Ms. Upma Bhatacherjee, Adv.

Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Mukti Chaudhry, Adv.

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.
Mr. M.V. Mukunda, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.
Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. S. Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Anika Nissar Sayyed, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Kharlyngdoh, Adv.
Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.
Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.
Mr. Deniel Stone Lyngdoh, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, AAG
Mr. Joydip Roy, Adv.
Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Akash Verma, Adv.

Ms. Monika Gusain, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.
Ms. Mahima C. Shroff, Adv.
Ms. Yashika Verma, Adv.
Ms. Riya Thomas, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shailja Nanda Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Arpit Parkash, Adv.
Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.
Ms. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.
Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.
Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Arora, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Annika Nissar Sayyed, Adv.
for Mr. A.S. Syed, Adv.

Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv.
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.
Mr. Harikumar V, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR
Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Poonam Kaushik, Adv.
Ms. Gagan Deep Kaur, Adv.

Mr. Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar
Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have gone through the report of Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court. In paragraphs 7.1 to 7.9 of the said Report, the relevant figures are mentioned, which are being extracted below:-

"7.1 Percentage share of different types of offences under POCSO (National Analysis):

POCSO Offence	Rounded Percentage
Penetrative Sexual Assault {S.4}	32.1
Aggravated Penetrative Sexual Assault {S.6}	24
Sexual Assault {S.8}	31

Aggravated Sexual Assault {S.10}	3
Sexual Harassment {S.12}	8
Use of Children for Pornography {S.14 & 15}	1
Abetment {S.17}	2

7.2 Gender-wise percentage of victimisation under POCSO FIRs:

Girl	- 80%
Boy	- 6%
Other	- 14%

7.3 Age profile of Victims under POCSO:

0-6 yrs	- 4%
6-12 yrs	- 13%
13-16 yrs	- 60%
16-18 yrs	- 22%

7.4 Whether accused is Known/Stranger or Relative of victim:

Friends & Neighbours	- 27%
Relatives	- 7%
School Staff	- 1%
Other known person	- 56%
Stranger	- 9%

7.5 Time taken in completion of investigation:

Within 30 days	- 18%
31-60 days	- 17%
61-180 days	- 29%
181-365 days	- 16%
More than one year	- 20%

7.6 Time taken by Police in depositing Samples with FSL Laboratory:

Within 30 days	- 51%
31-60 days	- 19%
61-180 days	- 17%
181-365 days	- 6%
More than one year	- 7%

7.7 Percentage of cases in which support person is provided:

Support Person not provided	- 96%
-----------------------------	-------

Support Person provided - 4%

7.8 Percentage of cases in which interim compensation/final compensation provided:

Interim Compensation NOT provided	-	99%
Interim Compensation provided	-	1%
Final Compensation NOT provided	-	99%
Final Compensation provided	-	1%

7.9 Pendency of POCSO Cases in percentage (Sec.35(2) mandates trial to be completed within one year):

Pending for more than 4 years	-	8%
Pending for 3-4 years	-	10%
Pending for 2-3 years	-	17%
Pending for 1-2 years	-	28%
Pending for less than 1 year	-	37%

This report shows a shocking state of affairs. What to talk of trials, in 20% of the cases even investigation is not completed within one year. Virtually, no support persons are provided and no compensation is paid to the victims. Almost two-third of the cases are pending trial for more than one year.

It appears that at all stages of dealing with POCSO cases commencing with the investigation up to the stage of trial, the time lines stipulated under the Act have not been complied with. From the report of Shri Rathi, it appears that one major reason for the inability of the stake holders to meet the deadline stipulated under the Act, is lack of awareness and lack of dedication in completing investigation, etc. within the time frame stipulated and also inadequacy of the number of courts which has resulted in cases remaining pending beyond the period mandated for completion of trial under the Act.

We hope and expect that the Central Government will play a much more proactive role to ensure that trials of

cases arising out of the POCSO Act are completed in the time frame laid down in the Act.

We direct all the State Governments as well as the Union of India to do what is required to be done to ensure that all stages of investigation as well as trial, as contemplated under the Act, are completed within the time frame by creation of additional force for investigation. We further direct the Union of India and the State Governments to take steps for sensitization of officials associated with the investigation and also for creation or assignment of dedicated courts to try POCSO cases on top priority so that charge-sheets are filed within the mandatory period and trials are completed within the time frame contemplated under the Act.

Report of the action taken will be placed before this Court and will be considered by a Bench headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Gupta.

List the matter on 12th December, 2019.

The response of the Union of India on the draft scheme of compensation filed before this Court by Shri Surinder S. Rathi, Registrar of the Supreme Court be also submitted in the course of the aforesaid period.

We have also perused the report submitted by Shri Dharmesh Sharma, District & Sessions Judge (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi and accede to his request for extending the time to complete the investigation by 30th November, 2019.

(Chetan Kumar)
A.R. -cum-P.S.

(Anand Prakash)
Court Master