BA Nos.11257 and 11284 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.S.DIAS FRIDAY, THE 12^{TH} DAY OF JANUARY 2024 / 22ND POUSHA, 1945 BAIL APPL. NO. 11257 OF 2023

CRIME NO.1338/2023 OF Cantonment Police Station,
Thiruvananthapuram

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT CMP 5716/2023 OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS -III, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM PETITIONER/S:

1 YADHU KRISHNAN, AGED 23 YEARS

2 ASHIQ PRADEEP, AGED 24 YEARS

3 ASHISH.R.G, AGED 24 YEARS

BY ADVS.

K.K.DHEERENDRAKRISHNAN

N.P.ASHA

RESPONDENT/S:

STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, PIN - 682031

SMT.NEEMA.TV, SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

SMT.SEETHA.S, SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

SRI.C.S HRITHWIK, SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12.01.2024 ALONG WITH BA 11284/23, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.S.DIAS FRIDAY, THE 12^{TH} DAY OF JANUARY 2024 / 22ND POUSHA, 1945 BAIL APPL. NO. 11284 OF 2023

CRIME NO.1338/2023 OF Cantonment Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT CMP 5716/2023 OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS -III, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

PETITIONER/S:

- 1 DILEEP, AGED 25 YEARS
 - S/O DIVENANDAKUMAR, CHANALKKARA VEDU, NEAR MULAKKAMELE, KOTTUKAL PANCHAYATH OFFICE, MANALIVILA, 15TH WARD, KOTTUKAL VILLAGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695121
- 2 RAYAN, AGED 24 YEARS
 S/O RAHIM, PALLITHERUVU VEEDU, TC 46/488(4), PPRA 181, PUTHENPALLY
 WARD, MANACAUD VILLAGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695009
- 3 AMAN GAFOOR, AGED 22 YEARS S/O SHAMMI KAFOOR, ERATTUPARAMBIL HOUSE, PUTHIYAKAVU, BHAGOM, MATHILAKOM, 12TH WARD, PAPPINIVATTOM VILLAGE, KODUNGALLOR TALUK, THRISSUR, PIN 680685
- 4 RINO STEPHAN, AGED 23 YEARS S/O ROY STEPHEN, JAIN DALE VEEDU, GOVINDMANGALAM, PERUMBANABHAGOM, OORUTTAMBALAM, PERUNNA WARD, MALAYINKEEZHU VILLAGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695571

BY ADV NANDAGOPAL S.KURUP

RESPONDENT/S:

STATE OF KERALA,

REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, PIN - 682031

SMT.NEEMA.TV, SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

SMT.SEETHA.S, SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

SRI.C.S HRITHWIK, SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12.01.2024 ALONG WITH BA 11257/23, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.S.DIAS, J.

BA Nos 11257 and 11284 of 2023

Dated this the 12th day of January, 2024

COMMON ORDER

"It is a malady in our country that political parties allure young students through their student wings. They do so because it is an easy method for enlisting support and participation of student population in their political programmes. Students, particularly in the adolescent age, are easily swayable by political parties without much effort or cost as young and tender minds are susceptible to easy persuasiveness by party leaders. Tender minds get galvanised on minor issues, frenzy flares up even on trivialities, young children and adolescents unaware of the disastrous consequences befalling their own future indulge in vandalism, mayhem and killing spree against their own fellow students. We think that the time is now ripe for legislative interference to salvage the campus free of political activities. We leave it to the members of legislatures and leaders of the country to ponder over this with the seriousness it deserves and to bring forth necessary measures to plug it"

: observed the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Harpal Singhv. Devinder Singh [(1997) 6 SCC 660].

2. On December, 11th 2023, the convoy of the Governor of Kerala was impeded in Palayam, Thiruvananthapuram, by the accused 1 to 7 and 10 identifiable persons owing allegiance to a political party,

in prosecution of their common object, by forming themselves into an unlawful assembly and disobeying the orders of the police, detained the Governor of Kerala and shouted slogans at him, waved black flags and damaged his vehicle incurring a loss of Rs.76,357/- to exchequer. Thus, the public the accused have committed the offences punishable under Sections 124, 143, 147, 149, 283, 353 of the Indian Penal Code, and Section 3 (2) (e) of the Prevention of Damages to Public Property Act is the gist of the prosecution case in Crime No.1338 of 2023 of the Cantonment Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram City. The petitioners in these bail applications are the accused, 1 to 7, who were arrested from the scene of occurrence. They have been in judicial custody since 11.12.2023.

- 3. Heard; Sri. K.K.Dheerendrakrishnan and Sri.Nandagopal S.Kurup, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri.C.S.Hrithwik, Smt. Neema T.V and Smt.Seetha.S, the learned Public Prosecutors.
- 4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the accusations levelled against them. By no stretch of imagination will the offence of Section 124 of the Act be attracted to the facts of the case. The provision has been deliberately incorporated to harass the petitioners. The petitioners are students in their 20s pursuing their graduation and post-graduation courses in various disciplines at the University of Kerala. The petitioners have been incarcerated for the last month. They have no criminal

antecedents. The investigation in the case is practically complete, and there is nothing more to be recovered. They are willing to cooperate with the investigation and abide by any stringent condition that this Court may impose. Hence, the petitioners may be released on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutors strenuously opposed the applications. They submitted that the investigation is in progress. Some of the accused are still at large. The petitioners have committed heinous offences by waylaying the Executive Head of the State. The student outfits are causing law and order problems across the State by obstructing VIP cavalcades. The police are on their toes all the time. The public coffers

are being unnecessarily drained to avert any untoward incident. The applications may be dismissed.

- 6. I have perused the case diary and the materials on record.
- 7. The Governors are the Executive Head of the States as enshrined under Article 154 of the Constitution of India. The Governors are provided with Z-plus security cover considering their eminent constitutional position, the duties they discharge and the risks associated with their esteemed office. It is in this context that Section 124 is embedded in the Indian Penal Code, which reads as follows:

assaults or wrongfully restrains, or attempts wrongfully to restrain, or overawes, by means of criminal force or the show of criminal force, or attempts so to overawe, such [President] or [Governor],

shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to seven years, and shall also be liable to fine".

[&]quot;124. Assaulting President, Governor, etc., with intent to compel or restrain the exercise of any lawful power- Whoever, with the intention of inducing or compelling the [President] of India, or the [Governor of any State, to exercise or refrain from exercising in any manner any of the lawful powers of such President or Governor],

- 8. The provision exhibits the concern of the Parliament to safeguard the Executive Heads of the Nation and the States to enable them to carry out their lawful powers.
- 9. Viewed in the above legal framework, the prosecution allegation is serious and grave.
- 10. In the crucible of student activism, driven by fervent political ideologies, a sudden rush of blood may propel the minds of a few into impulsive action of vandalism, rioting, hooliganism and crime. But this impulsiveness often overlooks the potential consequences, and by the time the harsh reality sets in, they might find themselves languishing in prison. To prevent such outcomes, addressing the underlying causes is crucial by creating spaces for constructive

dialogue and promoting a change in the mindsets of the persons at the helm of affairs. Despite the passage of two and a half decades, the observations in **Harpal Singh's** case to bring forth necessary measures remains a dead letter. The less said, the better.

- 11. Malala Yousafzai famously said, "Education is the best weapon through which we can fight poverty, ignorance and terrorism".
- 12. Unfortunately, this weapon loses its sheen when it gets tainted with criminal misconduct and vandalism. Students must embrace the power of knowledge to construct and not to destroy. Let the students be harbingers of positive change, be the architects of the future, and not be known for the wrong reasons.

- 13. Considering the youthful immaturity, the political ideologies and the promising future of the petitioners, this Court had an online interaction with the petitioners and their parents in the presence of the counsel and the public prosecutors. The petitioners assured this Court that they would regularly attend their classes, obey their parents, and undergo counselling to transform their attitude and mindset.
- 14. After bestowing my anxious consideration to the peculiar facts of the case and materials on record, particularly the fact that the petitioners have been in judicial custody for one month, the investigation in the case is practically complete, and no recovery is to be effected, I am convinced that the petitioners are entitled to be released on bail but subject to stringent

conditions including, to attend their classes regularly and obey their parents.

In the result, the applications are allowed, by directing the petitioners to be released on bail on each of them executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty-five thousand only) with one of their parents as their surety who shall also execute a bond each for the like sum, to the satisfaction of the court having jurisdiction, which shall be subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall jointly deposit an amount of Rs.76,357/- (Rupees Seventy Six Thousand Three Hundred and Fifty Seven only) before the jurisdictional Court, as per the directions of this Court in Hemachandran M.T @ Kamalesh and others vs.

Sub Inspector of Police and another [2011 (4) KHC 689].

- (ii) The petitioners shall appear before the Investigating Officer on every Saturday between 9 a.m. and 11 a.m. for a period of two months or till the final report is laid, whichever is earlier;
- (iii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or procure to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any Police Officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner, whatsoever;
- (iv) The petitioners shall not commit any offence while they are on bail;

- (v) The petitioners shall surrender their passports, if any, before the court below at the time of execution of the bond. If they have no passport, they shall file affidavits to the effect before the court below on the date of execution of the bond;
- (vi) The petitioners shall not leave
 Thiruvananthapuram District without the permission of
 the jurisdictional court.
- (vii) The petitioners shall attend their classes regularly and produce their attendance sheets issued by the Registrar of their Institutions before the jurisdictional court quarterly.
- (viii) The petitioners shall obey their parents and attend counselling classes as suggested by their parents. The parents would be at liberty to contact the

Member Secretary, District Legal Services Authority for any assistance in this regard, who is directed to render the necessary assistance that may be required, including to arrange for counselling.

- (ix) In case of violation of any of the conditions mentioned above, the jurisdictional court shall be empowered to consider the application for cancellation of bail, if any filed, and pass orders on the same, in accordance with law.
- (x) Applications for deletion/modification of the bail conditions shall be moved and entertained by the court below.
- (xi) Needless to mention, it would be well within the powers of the Investigating Officer to investigate the matter and, if necessary, to effect recoveries on the

information, if any, given by the petitioners even while the petitioners are on bail as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sushila Aggarwal v. State (NCT of Delhi) and another [2020 (1) KHC 663].

(xii) It is clarified that the courts dealing with the case, shall decide the case on its merits and in accordance with law, untrammeled by any observation made in this order, which is made only for the sole purpose of considering the bail applications.

Let the order be a reminder to the students that the reformative path would be in store if they indulge in similar offences as alleged in these cases.

SD/-

C.S.DIAS, JUDGE

BA Nos.11257 and 11284 of 2023

APPENDIX OF BAIL APPL. 11257/2023

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure-I CRIME NO. 1338/2023 OF CANTONMENT POLICE

STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT

Annexure -II TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 14.12.2023

IN CMP NO. 5716/2023 PASSED BY THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-

III, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BA Nos.11257 and 11284 of 2023

APPENDIX OF BAIL APPL. 11284/2023

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A2

Annexure A1 TRUE COPY OF THE FIRST INFORMATION REPORT ALONG WITH THE FIRST INFORMATION STATEMENT IN CRIME NO. 1338/2023 OF CANTONMENT POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CITY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

TRUE COPY OF THE REMAND REPORT PREFERRED BY
THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE, CANTONMENT
POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM BEFORE
THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURTIII, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

Annexure A3

CERTIFIED COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 14.12.2023
IN CMP NO. 5716/2023 IN CRIME NO. 1338/2023
OF CANTONMENT POLICE STATION PASSED BY THE
JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-III,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM