1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

NATIONAL LAWYERS' CAMPAIGN FOR JUDICIAL TRANSPARENCY AND REFORMS REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY A.C.PHILIP AND ORS.

Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

Respondents

ORDER

Permission to file the review petition is granted.

Prayer for open Court hearing is rejected.

There is an inordinate delay of 9071 days in filing the instant petition, for which no satisfactory explanation has been offered by the petitioners.

Thus, though the present petition is liable to be dismissed on the ground of delay itself, yet we have carefully gone through the review petition and the papers connected therewith. We are satisfied that no case for review of the impugned judgment has been made out.

The review petition is accordingly dismissed, both on the ground of delay, as well as, on merits.

	GOGOI)	 	• •	• •	• •	•	. С	JI
(S.A. B		 				•		. J .
(N.V. R		 				•		. J .

Contd....P2

(ARUN MISHRA)
(ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)
(R. BANUMATHI)
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)
(A.M. KHANWILKAR)
(ASHOK BHUSHAN)

New Delhi; October 17, 2019. ITEM NO.1006 SECTION PIL-W

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No. 29668/2018

NATIONAL LAWYERS' CAMPAIGN FOR JUDICIAL TRANSPARENCY AND REFORMS REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY A.C.PHILIP AND ORS.

Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

Respondents

(IA NO. 139060/2018 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT, IA NO. 139052/2018 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE REVIEW PETITION, IA NO. 139054/2018 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION and IA No. 139065/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS)

Date: 17-10-2019 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

By Circulation

<u>UPON perusing papers the Court made the following</u> <u>O R D E R</u>

Permission to file the review petition is granted.

Prayer for open Court hearing is rejected.

The review petition is dismissed, both on the ground of delay, as well as, on merits, in terms of the signed order.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Deepak Guglani) (Anand Prakash)
Court Master (signed order is placed on the file)